

**[CORRECTION ATTACHMENT TO DOCKET # 98.]**

**Declaration:** Plaintiff illegal legal misconduct noted **[Docket #94]** continues to create confusion of docket/minute filing preservation. Defendant sincerely apologizes for any time consumption.

*Defendant seeks;* **EMERGENCY RELIEF OF THE COURT FOR JUDICIAL STATUTORY INTERPRETATION OF CEA LAW FORTHWITH AS ANYTHING OTHER WOULD FURTHER VIOLATE DEFENDANT CIVIL/DUE PROCESS RIGHTS AFFORDED UNDER THIS COURT AND FEDERAL LAW. DEFENDANT/FAMILY CIVIL, POSSIBLY CONSTITUTIONAL? RIGHTS ARE BEING VIOLATED DAILY BY PLAINTIFF VIA DISCOVERY THAT SHOULD BE STAYED UNTIL RESOLUTION OF GOVERNING STATUTES IS CLARIFIED BY THIS COURT. PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN CORRECTED BY THIS COURT ON RECORD FOR ACTING UNDER GUISE AND GOING OUT OF THE SCOPE OF A COURT ORDER WHILE MISLEADING AND MISREPRESENTING SAID ORDER INACCURATELY TO DEFENDANT IN AN EFFORT TO GAIN DISCOVERY ILLEGALLY. [CHIEF JUDGE MAGISTRATE JUDGE MANN] PLAINTIFF CONTINUES TO GO OUTSIDE THE LIMITS OF DISCOVERY AS NOTED IN DEFENDANT LETTERS [Docket(s) #84, #85] TO JUDGE MANN. RESPECTFULLY SAID, FOR THE COURT TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY BY DECEPTION IMPOSING ILLEGAL HARASSMENT ON [CORRECTION] DEFENDANT/FAMILY IS A MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE ABSENT A CLEAR DEFINITION OF STATUTORY LAW AND JURISDICTION. [CASE SHOULD BE STAYED.]**

*Id.* quoting “*If the Court determines at any time that it lacks subject-matter jurisdiction, the Court must dismiss the action.*” at Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 12 (h)(3).



